



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,534	06/26/2007	Derk Vegter	H27204-1271.1102101	1460
90545	7590	05/07/2010		
HONEYWELL/CST			EXAMINER	
Patent Services			AMRANY, ADI	
101 Columbia Road				
P.O. Box 2245			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Morristown, NJ 07962-2245			2836	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentservices-us@honeywell.com
honeywell_uspto@cstlaw.com
shelley.herndon@honeywell.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/599,534	VEGTER, DERK
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ADI AMRANY	2836

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 23 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 - (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: 9.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-8 and 10-20.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____
13. Other: _____.

/Stephen W Jackson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2836

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claim 16, the claim recites, "providing a direction current (dc) control voltage that is SUITABLE for opening the gas valve" (emphasis added). Auer discloses that the alternating frequencies cause the capacitors (161, 162) to charge/discharge in order to maintain current flowing through the upper/lower windings of the relay, thereby keeping it open. Thus, Auer meets the limitation of providing a "suitable" control voltage. Support for this interpretation is also found in figure 2, which shows the direction of current through the windings of the relay. Both windings have current flowing from left to right, thereby creating a voltage drop across the winding (since it would be obvious that the winding has resistance and $V=IR$). And since the control voltage is maintained so long as the frequencies alternate, Auer meets the limitation of "maintaining" the control voltage as well.

Regarding claims 1 and 12, Auer meets the amended limitations, as discussed above. Further, the output terminals can also be interpreted as the terminals of the relay (125) itself. Auer discloses that current flow is maintained through the relay (thereby creating a voltage drop) when the frequencies alternate properly.

The Double Patenting rejection of claims 1-8 and 10-15 is maintained.

Claim 9 remains allowable, as it recites limitations regarding the specific placement of the internal components of the fail-safe circuit. Claims 1, 12 and 16 only broadly recite applying a control voltage. There are no limitations in these claims regarding how the control voltage is actually applied to the gas valve (as in claim 9).